

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/319,202

Applicant(s)

SHIRASAKI ET AL.

Examiner

John L. Goff

Art Unit

1733

**All Participants:**(1) John L. Goff.(2) Andrew Meikle.**Status of Application: After Final**(3) Richard Gallagher.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 6 October 2003**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

5

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Left message for applicants representative (both Mr. Meikle and Mr. Gallagher) giving the status of the after final amendment as October 9, 2003 is four months from the date of the final office action. It is noted the after final amendment submitted 9/9/03 was not entered as new claim 5 raises new issues that would require further search and/or consideration.